Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	nanı	10/019,891	OLSSON, BERTIL	
	ilary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Thanh-Ha Dang	2163	
All Participants:		Status of Applica	tion: <u>Non-Final</u>	
(1) <u>Thanh-Ha Dang</u> .		(3)		
(2) Nerrie M. Zohn.		(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2005</u>		Time: <u>10 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: .	□ Applic	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
1, 21, and 23.				-
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TI	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WI	AAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	cation. Th separate	ne examiner will provide record of the substant	e a written summary of the substan- ce of the interview, since the intervie	се
·				
	•			
7.00				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applican	it/Applicant's Represer	ntative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to discuss the following issues:

- 1. The term extension used in the independent claims 1, 21, and 23 need to be elaborated to avoid broadness of claim;
- 2. New claim 23 has 101 non-statutory issue;
- 3. Abstract needs to be in proper language and format; and
- 4. Title of the invention is not descriptive.